ESTIMATION OF PRODUCTIVITY OF LARVAL HABITATS OF Aedes Aegypti IN MSAMBWENI, KWALE COUNTY, KENYA

ALAWIH S. MWAKUTWAA

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF APPLIED AND HEALTH SCIENCES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND HEALTH SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER IN PUBLIC HEALTH OF TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MOMBASA

2023

DECLARATION

This thesis is my original work and has not been presented for a degree award in any other University.

Signature	Date
Name: Alawih S. Mwakutwaa	
MPH/2362/2015	
This thesis has been submitted with ou	ır approval as the University supervisors.
Signature	Date
Dr. Francis Mutuku	
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT	AND HEALTH SCIENCES
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MOM	BASA
Signature	Date
Dr. Shadrack Yonge	
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT	AND HEALTH SCIENCE
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MOM	BASA
Signature	Date
Prof. Laila U. Abubakar	
DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPL	LIED SCIENCES
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MOM	BASA

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my wife, children and parents whom without their support, this thesis would not have materialized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the residents at my study site for their support during the study. My sincere gratitude goes to my supervisors Dr. Francis Mutuku, Dr. Shadrack Yonge and Prof Laila Abubakar, for their technical help during this study and not forgetting Mwanamisi Mwadime and Njenga Harun who assisted in the field survey. I greatly appreciate the Vector Borne Disease Control Unit (VBDCU) at the Msambweni County Referral Hospital for laboratory and financial support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATIONi	i
DEDICATIONii	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF TABLESvii	i
LIST OF FIGURESiv	K
ABBREVIATIONS	K
ABSTRACTx	i
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study1	
1.2 Statement of the Problem	
1.3 Hypothesis	
1.4 Research Questions	
1.5 Objectives4	
1.5.1 Main Objective4	
1.5.2 Specific Objectives	
1.6 Justification4	
CHAPTER TWO	5
LITERATURE REVIEW6	5
2.1 Epidemiology of Dengue Fever6	
2.2. Dengue Fever Vectors7	
2.2.1 Aedes geounti Mosquitoes 7	

2.2.2 Aedes Albopictus8	
2.3. Larval Habitats of Dengue Vectors8	
2.4 Aedes Aegypti Larval Development	
2.5 Determining the Range of Productivity of Larval Habitats10	
2.6 Factors Influencing Productivity of <i>Ae. Aegypti</i> Larval Habitats11	
2.6.1 Larval Habitat Type11	
2.6.2 Location of the habitat12	
2.6.3 Purpose of Water in The Larval Habitat13	
2.6.4 Source of Water14	
2.6.5 Shade14	
2.6.6 Covering of Larval Habitats15	
2.6.7 Size of Larval Habitats15	
2.6.8 Characteristics of The Larval Habitats of Aedes. Aegypti And Ae. Albopictus	
16	
2.7 Other Factors17	
CHAPTER THREE:	19
MATERIALS AND METHODS	19
3.1 Study Area19	
3.2. Study Design20	
3.2.1 Identification and Mapping Ae. Aegypti Larval Habitats20	

3.2.2 Estimating Ae. Aegypti Larval Habitats Productivity Data Collectin	g Procedure
Tool	. 21
3.2.3 Determining Factors Influencing Ae. Aegypti Larval Habitat	Productivity
	. 22
3.3 Data Management and Analysis	. 22
3.4 Ethical Considerations	. 23
CHAPTER FOUR	24
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	24
4.1 Habitat Census	. 24
Table 4.1	24
4.2 Ae. Aegypti Abundance	
4.3 Wet and Dry Season Pupal Productivity	. 29
4.4 Stability of the Larval Habitats During the 30-day Sampling Period	.35
4.5 Factors Influencing Productivity of Ae. aegypti Larval Habitats	.36
4.6 Discussion	.39
CHAPTER FIVE	44
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	44
5. 1 Summary	
5.2 Conclusions	.44
5.3 Recommendations From the Study	. 45
REFERENCES	46
APPENDICES	61
APPENDIX I: ETHICAL APPROVAL	.61

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Habitat types and mosquito larval prevalence in 664 habitats surveyed in
Bomani town, Kwale County, Kenya, in June 201724
Table 4.2: Summary statistics for larval and pupal productivity during the baseline survey
Table 4.3: Univariate and multivariate analysis of predictors for Ae. Aegypti pupal abundance
Table 4.4: Distribution of larval and pupal productivity during wet and dry season studies
Table 4.5: Mean number of larvae and pupae during wet and dry studies in Bomani
town, Kwale County34
Table 4.6: Stability of the larval habitats during the 30-day sampling period35
Table 4.7: Univariate and multivariate analysis of predictors for Ae. aegypti pupal
productivity during wet season
Table 4.8: Univariate and multivariate analysis of predictors for Ae. aegypti pupal
productivity during dry season38

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. 1: Map of the study area. A) Map of Kenya, B) Map of Kwale County showing
the location of Msambweni20
Figure 4. 1: Mean <i>Ae. Aegypti</i> larvae and pupae per day in different larval habitat types
wet A and Dry B season in Bomani town, Kwale County, Kenya32

ABBREVIATIONS

CDC Centre of Disease Control

DHF Dengue hemorrhagic fever

USA United States of America

DENV Dengue Virus

SPSS Statistic package for the social sciences

ANOVA Analysis of variance

VBDCU Vector borne disease control unit

ABSTRACT

Accurate identification of epidemiologically important types of larval habitats is considered an essential step in the targeted control of Aedes aegypti; an important vector for several arboviruses, including dengue and chikungunya viruses. This study determined larval habitats-specific Ae. aegypti productivity in selected habitats in Msambweni, Kwale County, Kenya. The number of Ae. aegypti immatures in 83 representative larval habitats were counted daily for 30 days during the wet and dry seasons. All pupae were removed and allowed to emerge in the laboratory. A total of 664 potential larval habitats were identified and classified based on their use and material into seven habitat types, including: buckets, drums, jerrycans, pots, small domestic containers (SDC), tires and others during the habitat census survey. Of 664 larval habitats examined, 144 larval habitats (21.7%) were infested with Aedes aegypti larvae. 71% of the pupae were collected from tires and pots, both of which representing 17% of the habitats. On the other hand, buckets and SDC represented 55% of the total habitats with an infestation rate of 11.8%, yet only 13.5% of the pupae were found in them. Multivariate analysis showed that only habitat type and ability of the habitat to be moved were associated with pupal abundance. During the 30-day daily pupal production studies, only a few habitats were persistently found harboring pupae. In the wet season, pupae were collected from 28% (23/83) of the larval habitats. In the dry season, only 12% (10/83) of the habitats were ever found with pupae during the 30-day sampling period, with three habitats accounting for 80% of all the pupae collected. Three drums with 35% (127/365), 33% (121/365) and 12% (45/365) pupae respectively. The results of the multivariate models for the risk factors of pupal productivity showed that habitat type, placing of larval habitats in the backyard (IRR = 0.55; 0.35, 0.86), larval habitats with without purpose (IRR = 2.62; 2.18, 3.14) and rainwater (IRR = 2.33; 1.69, 3.23) were the important predictors of larval habitat productivity during the wet season. Although the multivariate model for habitat type did converge, habitat type and largesize larval habitats (IRR=0.05 0.005, 0.56) were the only important predictors during the dry season. In conclusion, drums, pots and tires covered more than 85% of Ae. aegypti pupae; reinforcing the 'key containers concept.' Larval habitat characteristics and human behavior can be used to predict entomological risk. Targeting these three types of habitats makes epidemiological sense, especially during the dry season in the study area.