

**INFLUENCE OF BEHAVIOURAL FINANCE BIASES ON INVESTMENT DECISION
OF EQUITY INVESTORS AT NAIROBI SECURITIES EXCHANGE IN KENYA**

MARY KAVELE PATRICK

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS IN THE
DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN
FINANCE OF TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MOMBASA**

2024

DECLARATION

This thesis is my original work and has not been presented for a degree award in any other university.

Signature..... Date.....

Mary Kavele Patrick

MSF/0023/2021

This thesis has been submitted with our approval as the University Supervisors.

Signature..... Date

Charles Kamau, PhD
TUM, Kenya

Signature..... Date.....

Scholastica Ratanya, PhD
TUM, Kenya

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my Parents Mr. Patrick Wambua and Mrs. Juliana Nzilani for their moral and financial support in carrying out this thesis study and to my dearly loving siblings for their encouragement and their continuous honorable support throughout.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I thank God for the sufficient strength He has given me to pursue this research from the beginning to the end. I am greatly beholden to my supervisors Dr. Charles Kamau and Dr. Scholastica Ratanya who were inspirational to me, their useful guidance made this thesis a reality. I also wish to thank all Lecturers Dr. Banafa Ali and Dr. Stephen Mwanzia who have continuously and patiently reviewed and offered advice throughout the study hence adding value to this thesis. I am indebted to my parents, my brothers, and my sisters who made sure that I am the Lady I am today all the way from a tender age. Their effort has been exceptional and I am so grateful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	x
DEFINITION OF TERMS	x
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.1.1 Global Perspective.....	1
1.1.2 Regional Perspective	3
1.1.3 Local Perspective.....	4
1.1.4 Profile of Equity Investors at Nairobi Securities Exchange.....	6
1.2 Statement Problem	7
1.3. Objectives of the Study.....	8
1.3.1 General Objective.....	8
1.3.2 Specific Objectives	8
1.4 Research Hypothesis	8
1.5 Significance of the Study	9
1.6 Scope of the Study	9
CHAPTER TWO	11
LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Theoretical Framework.....	11
2.2.1 Prospect Theory	11

2.2.2 Regret Theory	12
2.2.3 Heuristic Theory.....	13
2.3 Conceptual Framework.....	16
2.4 Review of Literature on Study Variables	17
2.4.1 Confirmation Bias and Investment Decision.....	17
2.4.2 Overconfidence Bias and Investment Decision	18
2.4.3 Familiarity Bias and Investment Decision	20
2.4.4 Information Processing Bias and Investment Decision.....	21
2.4.5 Investment Decision.....	23
2.5 Empirical Review	25
2.6 Critique of the Existing Literature	27
2.7 Research Gaps.....	28
2.8 Summary.....	29
CHAPTER THREE	31
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	31
3.1 Introduction.....	31
3.2 Research Design.....	31
3.3 Target Population.....	31
3.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique.....	32
3.4.1 Sampling Technique.....	32
3.4.2 Sample Size.....	33
3.5 Data Collection Instrument.....	34
3.5.1 Primary Data Collection.....	34
3.6 Data Collection Procedure	35
3.7 Pilot Study	35
3.7.1 Validity of Research Instrument.....	36

3.7.2 Reliability of Research Instrument	36
3.8 Diagnostic Tests.....	36
3.8.1 Heteroscedasticity	36
3.8.2 Multicollinearity	37
3.8.3 Normality Test.....	37
3.8.5 Linearity Test.....	38
3.9 Data Analysis and Presentation	38
3.9.1 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	39
3.10 Measurement of Variable.....	39
3.11 Hypothesis Testing.....	41
3.12 Ethical Considerations.....	42
CHAPTER FOUR	43
RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	43
4.1 Introduction.....	43
4.2 Response Rate.....	43
4.3 Pilot Study	44
4.3.1 Reliability Results.....	44
4.3.2 Validity Results	45
4.4 Diagnostic Tests for the Study Variables.....	46
4.4.1 Test for AutoCorrelation.....	46
4.4.2 Test for Multicollinearity	47
4.4.3 Test for Normality.....	48
4.4.4 Test for Linearity	49
4.4.5 Test for Homoscedasticity.....	51
4.5 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents	52
4.6 Descriptive Results.....	53

4.6.1 Confirmation Bias.....	54
4.6.2 Overconfidence Bias.....	57
4.6.3 Familiarity Bias.....	59
4.6.4 Information Processing Bias	62
4.6.5 Investment Decisions	64
4.7 Inferential Results.....	67
4.7.1 Correlation Results.....	67
4.7.2 Multiple Regression Test Results	69
4.7.3 ANOVA Test results	70
4.7.4 Regression Coefficients.....	71
4.8 Hypothesis Test Results.....	73
4.9 Discussion of Findings.....	76
4.9.1 Influence of confirmation bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE.....	76
4.9.2 Influence of overconfidence bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE.....	77
4.9.3 Influence of familiarity bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE.....	78
4.9.4 Influence of information processing bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE.....	80
CHAPTER FIVE	82
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	82
5.1 Introduction.....	82
5.2 Summary of Key Findings	82
5.2.1 Confirmation Bias.....	82
5.2.2 Overconfidence Bias	83
5.2.3 Familiarity Bias.....	83

5.2.4 Information Processing Bias	84
5.3 Conclusion.....	85
5.4 Recommendations	86
5.5 Policy Recommendation	86
5.6 Areas for Further Research	86
REFERENCES.....	88
APPENDICES.....	103
APPENDIX I: Letter From Institution.....	103
APPENDIX II: Questionnaire	104
APPENDIX III: List Of Companies of Equity Market Quoted on Nairobi Securities Exchange (NSE).....	110
APPENDIX IV: Ethical Review Committee Permit.....	113
APPENDIX VI: Nacosti Research License	114

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1: Target Population.....	32
Table 3.2: Population Sample size	34
Table 3.3: Measurement of Variables	40
Table 3.4: Hypothesis Testing.....	41
Table 4.1: Response Rate.....	43
Table 4.2: Reliability results.....	44
Table 4.3 Validity results.....	45
Table 4.4 Durbin-Watson results.....	46
Table 4.5 Multi-collinearity results.....	47
Table 4.6: Normality Test Results.....	49
Table 4.7 Linearity results.....	50
Table 4.8: Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents.....	53
Table 4.9: Descriptive Results of Confirmation Bias.....	55
Table 4.10: Descriptive results of Overconfidence Bias.....	58
Table 4.11: Descriptive Results of Familiarity Bias.....	60
Table 4.12: Descriptive Results of Information Processing Bias.....	63
Table 4.13: Descriptive Results of Investment Decisions.....	65
Table 4.14: Correlation Matrix Results.....	68
Table 4.15: Model Summary.....	70
Table 4.16: ANOVA Results.....	71
Table 4.17: Regression Coefficients.....	72
Table 4.18: Hypothesis test results	74

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Conceptual Framework.....	16
Figure 4.1 Normal Q-Q plot of investment Decision.....	48
Figure 4.2 Scatter plot.....	50
Figure 4.3 Scatter plot.....	52

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

BF	Behavioral Finance
BRT	Behavioural Reasoning Theory
CAI	Cronbach Alpha Index
CSE	Colombo Stock Exchange
EMH	Efficient Market Hypothesis
ETFs	Exchange -Traded Funds
ISAK	Independent Shareholders Association of Kenya
KMO	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
MSM	Mongolian Stock Market
NACOSTI	National Commission for Science, Technology & Innovation
NCM	Nigeria Capital Market
NSE	Nairobi Securities Exchange
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
PBC	Perceived Behavioral Control
REITs	Real Estate Investment Trusts
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
TUM	Technical University of Mombasa
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Behavioral finance bias	According to Chitra (2020) behavioral finance bias is a prejudice resulting to psychological elements that affect how investors perceive and respond to certain information, which in turn affects their decisions in the financial markets.
Confirmation bias	This is the process of looking for information to confirm a person's predetermined conceptions and ideas (Weixiang, Qamruzzaman, Rui, & Kler, 2022).
Equity investors	Investors are (retail or institutional investors) that invest in a company (whether publicly or privately held) to obtain a financial gain .
Familiarity bias	Familiarity bias describes how affected investors make judgments about their investments and how well-informed they are about a certain investment option . Investment decision refers to the decisions that involve the investment of various resources of the firm to gain the highest possible return on investment for their investors .
Investment decision	
Information processing bias	It means necessity of seeking advice from many resources before taking any decision of buying investment product (Kumar ,2020). This is a prejudice that causes investors to overestimate their skills and success quotient and to consider misleading facts,giving the impression that they are superior to others (Čuláková, Kotrus, Uhlířová, & Jirásek, 2017).
Overconfidence	

ABSTRACT

Behavioral finance techniques look at how irrationality and behavioral bias influence the decisions investors make. Behavioral finance makes use of knowledge from various fields of study to comprehend investor choices. The primary goal of this research was to establish the influence of behavioral finance biases on investment decision of equity investors at NSE in Kenya. The study had four specific objectives; to examine the influence of confirmation bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE in Kenya, to evaluate the impact of overconfidence prejudice on investment decision of equity stockholders at NSE in Kenya, to determine the influence familiarity bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE in Kenya, and to establish the influence of information processing bias on investment decision of equity investors at NSE in Kenya. The study was anchored on the following theories; Prospect theory, Regret theory, Behavioral Reasoning theory and the Heuristic theory. Descriptive research design was utilized in this research. The target population was 136 and a sample size of 102. There were two respondents from each firm that is fund manager and a trustee. To gather quantitative data, a survey containing both organized and structured questions was used. Pilot study was done where validity was established and the reliability of the questionnaires was established by Cronbach's alpha formula to attain estimate's reliability. The statistical package for social science, SPSS version 26, was utilized to analyze the data. To determine whether there are any substantial correlations amongst the variables, a multiple regression analysis test was conducted. The results of the research were displayed using graphs and tables. Regression analysis and descriptive correlation were performed, and the results were presented in tables along with relevant interpretation and discussion. In examining the relationship model through regression coefficients, it was found that a 0.106 increase in overconfidence bias led to a unit increase in investment decision. The regression analysis coefficients, derived from the relationship model, illustrated that a 0.187 increase in familiarity bias led to a unit increase in investment decision. The results did not support the null hypothesis at a 95% confidence level, p-value of 0.001 t-value of 2.440, surpassing the critical threshold of 1.96 suggesting a high confidence in this coefficient as a predictor variable. The null hypothesis, indicating no significant influence, was not supported with a high level of confidence 95% due to the low p-value of 0.042, t-value of 2.055 surpassing the critical benchmark score of 1.96. At 95% confidence level, the null hypothesis was not supported, as indicated by an exceptionally low p-value of 0.009, t-value of 2.650, surpassing the critical benchmark score of 1.96. The null hypothesis, which posited no significant influence, was not supported at a 95% confidence level, indicated by an extremely low p-value of 0.000, t-value of 8.177, above the critical score of 1.96. The findings revealed a significant relationship between behavioral finance biases and the investment decision of equity investors at NSE. The study comes to the conclusion that equities investors at the Nairobi Securities Exchange are significantly influenced by information processing bias while making investment decisions. To optimize investment returns, investors must carefully evaluate the characteristics of market competition. The study recommends that, encouraging networking events, fostering mentorship programs, and participating in industry-related associations can help investors build valuable connections and access information that may positively impact investment decision.